

- 7 -

REMARKS

This is a supplemental amendment filed in response to a telephone conversation with Legal Instruments Examiner, Viola Smith on June 17, 2005. Apparently in the original amendment, claim 20 has the number "19" stricken, thereby making the dependency of claim ambiguous. In response to Ms. Smith's recommendation, I have provided the previously submitted amendment via this Supplemental Amendment with claim 20 changed to properly indicate dependency from claim 19 and this present paragraph. The remaining portions of the previously submitted remarks are set forth below.

In response to the Restriction Requirement Applicant elects to prosecute group VI, figures 9-10, without traverse, with the understanding that claims 1-5 and 7-14, 16, 17 and 20-23 are believed to be readable thereon. As a result, claims 6, 15 and 18-19 have been withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application in view the foregoing amendments and remarks. A notice of allowance is